

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	JING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/746,176 12/21/2000		William James Morrison	AUS920000765US1	2913	
35525	7590	12/23/2004		EXAMINER	
IBM CORP	(YA)		THEIN, MARIA TERESA T		
C/O YEE &	ASSOCIA	TES PC			
P.O. BOX 802333				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, T	DALLAS, TX 75380				
				DATE MAIL ED. 12/22/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/746,176	MORRISON ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Marissa Thein	3627
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply</li> </ul>	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE   - External after   - If the   - If NO   - Failu   Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 S</u>	September 2001.	
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the conditi	•	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Applicati	on Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) $\square$ acc	·	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
	The state of the s	. c. ale columba copied not receive	
Attachmen	tie)		
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

Applicants' "Response to Office Action" filed on September 17, 2004 has been considered.

Claims 1-48 remain pending in this application

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 17, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' remark that "Rackson and auctionwatch.com, taken alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest simultaneously displaying, using a single screen image, a plurality of different items offered for auction, permitting entry of a different bid for each of a plurality of the plurality of different items using the single screen image, and simultaneously submitting each different bid for each of the plurality of different items from the single screen image, as recited in claim 1".

The Examiner does not concur. Rackson was cited for simultaneously displaying a plurality of different items offered for auction, permitting entry of a different bid for each different item and simultaneously submitting the different bids. In col. 10, line 64 – col. 11, line 1, Rackson discloses a multi-auction service where sellers can sell more than one items that can be different. A seller having three items which are to be sold, bidders may be able to bid on one, two, or all three of the times (col. 11, lines 12-16). Furthermore, Rackson discloses an Internet-based interface may be provided for the bidder such that the bidder can view selected remote auction service items being

Art Unit: 3627

tracked (col. 25, line 65- col. 26, line 1). A reduced size depicted of all of the items being tracked may also be appended to the bottom of the interface such that the user may review them locally without navigating to the remote auction service (col. 26, lines 6-9). The Examiner then turns to auctionwatch.com to teach the single screen image. Auctionwatch.com teaches watching multiple auctions of your choice from different sites on one page (single screen image) (page 13). Furthermore, Auctionwatch.com disclose efficiently listing an auction complete with images, smart counters, and templates and efficiently keep track of your action from one location (single screen) (page 39). Therefore, the combination of Rackson and Auctionwatch.com, taken alone or in combination, does teach or suggest the recited claim above.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,415,270 to Rackson in view of the website www.auctionwatch.com.

Regarding claims 1, 17, and 33, Rackson discloses a method, system and computer-readable medium in an electronic auction comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/746,176 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

simultaneously displaying at a computer network a plurality of different items
 offer for auction (see at least col. 25, line 66 – col. 26, line 2; Figure 14; col. 26, lines 6-8)

- permitting entry of a different bid for each of a plurality of the plurality of different items (see at least Figure 14; col. 26, lines 23-25);
- simultaneously submitting, over the computer network, each said different bid for each of the plurality of the plurality of different items (see at least Figure 14; col. 26, lines 23-29; col. 26, lines 30-35; col. 23, lines 6-17).

However, Rackson does not expressly show disclose a single screen image.

Rackson does disclose an Internet-based interface 500 in Figure 14 which is provided for the bidder 8 such that the bidder can view his selected item type 502, and the selected remote auction service items 520 being tracked (col. 25, line 65 – col. 26, line 1). The website <a href="www.auctionwatch.com">www.auctionwatch.com</a>, on the other hand, teaches the single screen image (see at least page 13 and page 22).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the method, system and computer-readable medium of Rackson, to include a single screen, as taught by <a href="www.auctionwatch.com">www.auctionwatch.com</a>, in order to track auctions that a bidder is interested in and monitor bidding activity (<a href="www.auctionwatch.com">www.auctionwatch.com</a> page 11). Furthermore, it avoids constant surf back and forth between multiple auctions and multiple auctions sites to find the best deal (<a href="www.auctionwatch.com">www.auctionwatch.com</a> page 39).

Art Unit: 3627

Regarding claims 2-8, 18-24, and 34-40, Rackson discloses the plurality of auction types such as interactive auction, single auction, and priced auction (see at least col. 9, lines 25-35; col. 10, lines 60-63; col. 11, lines 2-32).

Regarding claims 9, 25 and 41, Rackson discloses receiving the different bid submitted fro each of the plurality of plurality of different items; and simultaneously displaying a confirmation for the different bid submitted for each of the plurality of plurality of different items using a second single screen image (see at least col. 12, line 47-col. 13, line 5).

Regarding claims 10-12, 26-28 and 42-44, Rackson discloses simultaneously submitting a different bid submitted for each different items and the submitting a modification, canceling and revising each of a plurality of different items (see at least col. 6, lines 37-41; col. 7, lines 18-22; col. 13, lines 25-36). Rackson does not explicitly disclose the first and second plurality of the plurality of different items. The first plurality of different items and second plurality of the different items do not patentably distinguish the claimed method, system, and computer-readable medium because they impart no structural or functional specificity. Furthermore, applicants have not persuasively demonstrated that the first and second plurality of different items are critical or are anything more than one of the numerous plurality of different items that the skilled artisan would have found suitable for the purpose taught by Rackson. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide any plurality of different items in the method, system, and computer-readable

Art Unit: 3627

medium taught by Rackson because the subjective interpretation of the various plurality of different items do not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 13-14, 29-30, and 45-46, Rackson discloses proxy (see at last col. 14, lines 17-29; col. 14, lines 50-58).

Regarding claims 15-16, 31-32, and 47-48, Rackson discloses simultaneously displaying a plurality of different offering offered for auction, wherein an offering includes one or more items; selecting a plurality of different offerings to display to a particular user; and simultaneously displaying the selected plurality of the plurality of different offerings (see at least Figure 14; col. 25, line 65 – col. 66, line 2; col. 26, lines 6-9; col. 26, lines 14-36).

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/746,176

5246. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

Art Unit: 3627

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marissa Thein whose telephone number is 703-305-

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on 703-308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mtot December 16, 2004

JAMES MCCLELLAN PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 7